AO 247 (Rev. 11/11) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Page 1 of 2 (Page 2 Not for Public Disclosure)

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Indiana

United States of America	
v. Amir Morgan	) Case No: 3:06CR00038-002
40/40/0007	) USM No: 08477-028
Date of Original Judgment: 12/19/2007 Date of Previous Amended Judgment:	) Juval Scott
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)	Defendant's Attorney
	ON FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
§ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment i subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the U	nited States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10
	e's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
(Complete Parts I and II o	of Page 2 when motion is granted)
A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY Laura A. Briggs, Clerk U.S. District Court Southern District of Indiana By Deputy Clerk	
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment IT IS SO ORDERED.	ent dated shall remain in effect.
Order Date: 01/10/2012	Judge's signature
Effective Date: *	The Honorable Richard L. Young
(if different from order date)	Printed name and title

<sup>\*</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, the effective date of this order shall be ten (10) days after order date.

Addendum to Order Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)
Cause No. 3:06CR00038-002
Defendant Amir Morgan
As directed by 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), the Court has considered the relevant factors in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), and determined a sentence reduction is not appropriate for the following reason(s):
1) The defendant is not eligible for a reduction because the amendments listed in subsection (c) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 are not applicable to the defendant.
✓ 2) The amendment is listed in subsection (c) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 and is applicable to the defendant, but it does not have the effect of lowering the defendant's applicable guideline range (e.g. a statutory mandatory minimum term of imprisonment, binding plea agreement, career offender status).
3) The defendant is eligible for a reduction under this amendment, but the Court has determined such a reduction is not appropriate because of the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that may be posed by a reduction in sentence. (Application Note 1(B) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10.)
4) The defendant is eligible for a reduction under this amendment. However, the Court has determined the post-sentencing conduct demonstrates the defendant may pose a danger to any person or the community by a reduction in sentence. (Application Note 1(B) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10.)
✓ 5) Other (explain)
The defendant's base offense level was determined by the quantity of powder cocaine; not cocaine base. Furthermore, the defendant received the mandatory minimum
sentence.